

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 DUBLIN 00859 151201Z

53

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 IO-10 ISO-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 USIE-00 INRE-00

CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-03 H-02 INR-07 L-02 NSAE-00 NSC-05

PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 ACDA-05 ERDA-05 CU-02 OIC-02

/075 W

----- 033170

O R 151122Z MAY 75

FM AMEMBASSY DUBLIN

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 6780

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

USMISSION USEC BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

USMISSION GENEVA

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG

AMEMBASSY PARIS

USMISSION OECD PARIS

AMEMBASSY ROME

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

USMISSION NATO BRUSSELS

C O N F I D E N T I A L DUBLIN 859

GENEVA FOR CSCE DEL

E. O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR CSCE

SUBJ: US/EC CONSULTATIONS: CSCE

1. IRISH POLITICAL DIRECTOR NOEL DORR BRIEFED US LATE MAY 14 ON RESULTS OF MAY 12/13 EC POLITICAL DIRECTORS' MEETING (OTHER SUBJECTS SEPTELS.) PARTICIPANTS HAD SPENT MORE TIME DISCUSSING CSCE THAN ANY OTHER INDIVIDUAL SUBJECT. THERE WAS SOME REMAINING HOPE THAT CSCE COULD BE WOUND UP IN JULY. THE GENERAL FEELING, HOWEVER, WAS THAT IT IS GETTING VERY LATE TO CONCLUDE SO SOON IN  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 DUBLIN 00859 151201Z

ANY SATISFACTORY WAY. THE RUSSIANS ARE TALKING OF FLEXIBILITY

BUT HAVE NOT ACTUALLY DEMONSTRATED IT, AND THEY WILL HAVE TO BE WILLING TO MAKE MASSIVE PROGRESS IN THE NEXT WEEK OR TWO IF THE CONCLUSION IS NOT TO BE DEFERRED UNTIL OCTOBER.

2. FOR FIRST TIME, THE POLITICAL DIRECTORS LOOKED CAREFULLY AT THE QUESTION OF CONCORDANCE OF TEXTS IN THE DIFFERENT LANGUAGES. THERE IS A FEAR THAT THE SOVIETS WISH TO WATER DOWN THEIR TEXT SIGNIFICANTLY IN TRANSLATION, AND THIS CANNOT BE ACCEPTED. EXPERTS HAVE ALREADY IDENTIFIED MORE THAN 150 DIFFERENT TRANSLATION PROBLEMS. THE EC NOW CONSIDERS THIS AN IMPORTANT ISSUE AND ONE WHICH SHOULD BE RESOLVED BEFORE THE END OF STAGE TWO.

3. FOLLOW-UP: EC MEMBERS ARE STILL HOLDING TO THE DANISH PROPOSAL, BUT THEY ARE ALSO DISCUSSING POSSIBLE MODIFICATIONS OF IT WITH THE NEUTRALS IN GENEVA, WHO WISH TO HAVE AN ASSURANCE OF A FURTHER SERIES OF MEETINGS AFTER 1977. THE EC IS WILLING TO SHOW SOME FLEXIBILITY BUT INSISTS ON HAVING A BREATHING SPACE SO THAT PROGRESS CAN BE VERIFIED BEFORE A FURTHER SERIES OF MEETINGS IS DEFINITELY SCHEDULED. THE EC HOPES IT CAN REASSURE NEUTRALS THAT, WITH GOODWILL ON ALL SIDES, THE 1977 MEETING NEED NOT BE SIMPLY AN OCCASION TO DISAGREE FOR TWO DAYS AND THEN END THE CSCE FOLLOW-UP FOR GOOD.

4. TECHNICAL FOLLOW-UP: DORR SAID THIS TERM WAS INTRODUCED INTO THE MAY 12/13 MEETING FOR THE FIRST TIME IN ORDER TO IDENTIFY THE PROBLEM OF MONITORING THE SUCCESSFUL IMPLEMENTATION OF DECISIONS TAKEN BY CSCE. GENEVA DELEGATIONS WILL BE ASKED TO STUDY THIS QUESTION CAREFULLY.

5. ROLE OF THE EC AS A BODY: THERE ARE SOME RELATIVELY MINOR PROBLEMS WITH EC REPRESENTATION AT CSCE. THESE RESULTS FROM THE FACT THAT THE COMMUNITY NOW HAS EXCLUSIVE COMPETENCE OVER SOME TRADE PROBLEMS. THERE WILL BE A STUDY OF THIS QUESTION IN BRUSSELS AND GENEVA.

6. FINAL DOCUMENT: THE POLITICAL DIRECTORS BRIEFLY DISCUSSED THE FINAL DOCUMENT OR ACT OF THE CSCE. THE DUTCH HAVE RECENTLY TABLED A DRAFT ON THE SUBJECT IN GENEVA ON BEHALF OF THE EC 9. THE BASIC EC GOAL IS TO AVOID SIGNING A SEPARATE DOCUMENT CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 DUBLIN 00859 151201Z

TAINING THE PRINCIPLES ALONE, AS THE SOVIETS WOULD APPARENTLY LIKE. ONE POSSIBILITY IS TO SIGN THE WHOLE OF THE CSCE OUTPUT, BUT THIS INVOLVES CERTAIN LEGAL QUESTIONS WHICH THE LAWYERS ARE STUDYING.

7. MALTESE PROPOSAL: THE POLITICAL DIRECTORS CONSIDERED THE OLD PROBLEM OF HOW TO IGNORE IT WITHOUT SEEMING TO DO SO. RENDAHL

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** COLLECTIVE SECURITY, MEETING REPORTS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 15 MAY 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** ElyME  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975DUBLIN00859  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D750170-1233  
**From:** DUBLIN  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t19750546/aaaabphl.tel  
**Line Count:** 115  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION EUR  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** ElyME  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 10 APR 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <10 APR 2003 by Izenbel0>; APPROVED <01 OCT 2003 by ElyME>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
05 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** US/EC CONSULTATIONS: CSCE  
**TAGS:** PFOR, US, CSCE, EEC  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006